

MODULAR LIE ALGEBRAS AND THE GELFAND–KIRILLOV CONJECTURE

ALEXANDER PREMET

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra over and algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic 0. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a Chevalley $\mathbb{Z}$ -form of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$, where $\mathbb{k}$ is the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ be a simple, simply connected algebraic $\mathbb{k}$ -group with $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{k}}) = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$. In this paper, we apply recent results of Rudolf Tange on the fraction field of the centre of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ to show that if the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture (from 1966) holds for $\mathfrak{g}$, then for all $p \gg 0$ the function field $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ on the dual space $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is purely transcendental over its subfield $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})^{G_{\mathbb{k}}}$. Very recently, it was proved by Colliot-Thélène–Kunyavskiĭ–Popov–Reichstein that the function field $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{g})$ is *not* purely transcendental over its subfield $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ provided that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type B_n , $n \geq 3$, D_n , $n \geq 4$, E_6 , E_7 , E_8 or F_4 . We prove a modular version of this result (valid for $p \gg 0$) and use it to show that, in characteristic 0, the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture fails for the simple Lie algebras of the above types. In other words, if $\mathfrak{g}$ of type B_n , $n \geq 3$, D_n , $n \geq 4$, E_6 , E_7 , E_8 or F_4 , then the Lie field of $\mathfrak{g}$ is more complicated than expected.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

1.1. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an algebraically closed field. Given a Lie algebra L over $\mathbb{K}$ we denote by $U(L)$ the universal enveloping algebra of L . Since $U(L)$ is a Noetherian domain, it admits a field of fraction which we shall denote by $\mathcal{D}(L)$. Let $\mathbf{A}_r(\mathbb{K})$ denote the r -th Weyl algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ (it is generated over $\mathbb{K}$ by $2r$ generators $u_1, \dots, u_r, v_1, \dots, v_r$ subject to the relations $[u_i, u_j] = [v_i, v_j] = 0$ and $[u_i, v_j] = \delta_{ij}$ for all $i, j \leq r$). Given a collection of free variables $y_1, \dots, y_s$ we define

$$\mathbf{A}_{r,s}(\mathbb{K}) := \mathbf{A}_r(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \mathbb{K}[y_1, \dots, y_s].$$

Being a Noetherian domain the algebra $\mathbf{A}_{r,s}(\mathbb{K})$ also admits a field of fractions denoted $\mathcal{D}_{r,s}(\mathbb{K})$.

In [19], Gelfand and Kirillov put forward the following *Hypothèse fondamentale*:

THE GELFAND–KIRILLOV CONJECTURE. *If $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ and L is the Lie algebra of an algebraic $\mathbb{K}$ -group, then $\mathcal{D}(L) \cong \mathcal{D}_{r,s}(\mathbb{K})$ for some r, s depending on L .*

If the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture holds for L , then necessarily

$$s = \mathrm{index} L = \mathrm{tr. deg}(Z(\mathcal{D}(L))), \quad r = \frac{1}{2}(\dim L - \mathrm{index} L),$$

where $Z(\mathcal{D}(L))$ is the centre of $\mathcal{D}(L)$; see [32] for more detail.

In [19], the conjecture was settled for nilpotent Lie algebras, $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_n$. In 1973, the conjecture was confirmed in the solvable case independently by Borho [7], Joseph [22] and McConnell [28]. In 1979, Nghiêm considered the semi-direct products of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$,

$\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{so}_n$ with their standard modules and proved the conjecture for those; see [30].

1.2. A breakthrough in the general case came in 1996 when Jacques Alev, Alfons Ooms and Michel Van den Bergh constructed a series of counterexamples to the conjecture, focusing on semi-direct products of the form $L = \text{Lie}(H) \ltimes V$ where H is a simple algebraic group and V is a rational H -module admitting a trivial generic stabilizer (V is regarded as an abelian ideal of L). The smallest known counterexample is the 9-dimensional semi-direct product of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ with a direct sum of two copies of the adjoint module. In [2], Alev, Ooms and Van den Bergh proved that the conjecture holds in dimension ≤ 8 .

However, despite considerable efforts the validity of the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture in the case of a *simple* Lie algebra $L \not\cong \mathfrak{sl}_n$ remained a complete mystery until now. It suffices to say that the answer is unknown already for $L = \mathfrak{sp}_4$. A weaker positive result in the case of L simple was obtained by Gelfand and Kirillov in 1968. They proved in [20] that there exists a finite field extension F of the centre $Z(\mathcal{D}(L))$ such that the field of fractions of $\mathcal{D}(L) \otimes_{Z(\mathcal{D}(L))} F$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_{N,l}(\mathbb{K})$, where l is the rank of L and $N = \frac{1}{2}(\dim L - l)$. It is conjectured in [1] that such a weakened version of the conjecture should hold for any algebraic Lie algebra L . At the opposite extreme, it was proved in [15] for L simple that the obvious analogue of the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture holds for the fraction fields of the largest primitive quotients of $U(L)$.

1.3. As the author first learned from Jacques Alev, the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture makes perfect sense in the case where the base field $\mathbb{K}$ has characteristic $p > 0$ (there is no need to make any changes in the formulation as all objects involved exist in any characteristic). In principle, the problem can be stated for any finite dimensional restricted Lie algebra, but in what follows I am going to focus on the case where $L = \mathfrak{g}_p$ is the Lie algebra of a simple, simply connected algebraic $\mathbb{K}$ -group G_p .

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_p = \text{Lie}(G_p)$ carries a canonical p -th power map $x \mapsto x^{[p]}$ equivariant under the adjoint action of G_p . The elements $x^p - x^{[p]}$ with $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ generate a large subalgebra of the centre $Z(\mathfrak{g}_p)$ of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$, called the p -centre of $U(\mathfrak{g}_p)$ and denoted $Z_p(\mathfrak{g})$. It follows from the PBW theorem that $U(\mathfrak{g}_p)$ is free module of finite rank over $Z_p(\mathfrak{g}_p)$. Let $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}_p)$ denote the field of fractions of $Z(\mathfrak{g}_p)$. It is well known that under very mild assumptions on G_p one has that $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_p) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}_p) \otimes_{Z(\mathfrak{g}_p)} \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}_p)$ is a central division algebra of dimension p^{n-l} over the field $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}_p)$, where $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}_p$ and $l = \text{rk } G_p$; see [42, 26] for more detail.

It is known (and easily seen) that if the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture hold for $\mathfrak{g}_p$, then the field $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}_p)$ is purely transcendental over $\mathbb{K}$ and the order of the similarity class of $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_p)$ in the Brauer group $\text{Br}(\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}_p))$ equals p ; see [33, 3] for more detail. At the Durham Symposium on Quantum Groups in July 1999, Alev asked the author whether the field $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}_p)$ is purely transcendental over $\mathbb{K}$. The question was, no doubt, motivated by the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture.

In [33], Rudolf Tange and the author answered Alev’s question in affirmative for $\mathfrak{g}_p = \mathfrak{gl}_n$ and for $\mathfrak{g}_p = \mathfrak{sl}_n$ with $p \nmid n$. Using our result Jean-Marie Bois was able to confirm the modular Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture in these cases; see [3]. Recently, Tange [37] solved Alev’s problem for any simple, simply connected group G_p subject

to some (very mild) assumptions on p . In [37], he also proved that the centre $Z(\mathfrak{g}_p)$ is a unique factorisation domain, thus confirming an earlier conjecture of Braun–Hajarnavis; see [8, Conjecture E].

1.4. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a characteristic 0 counterpart of $\mathfrak{g}_p$, a simple Lie algebra which has the same root system as G_p . Although proving the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture for $\mathfrak{g}_p$ would probably have little impact on its validity for $\mathfrak{g}$ (apart from some heuristic evidence), it turns out that *disproving* the conjecture for $\mathfrak{g}_p$ for almost all p is sufficient for refuting the original conjecture for $\mathfrak{g}$.

In what follows we assume that $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and denote by $\mathbb{k}$ the algebraic closure of the prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$. We let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a Chevalley $\mathbb{Z}$ -form associated with a minimal admissible lattice in $\mathfrak{g}$ and set $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}} := \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$. Then $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{K}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}} = \text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{k}})$ for some simple, simply connected algebraic $\mathbb{k}$ -group $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ of the same type as $\mathfrak{g}$.

In Section 2 we prove a reduction theorem which states that if the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture holds for $\mathfrak{g}$, then it holds for $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ for almost all p . In Section 3, we apply Tange’s results [37] to show that if the modular Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture holds for $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$, then the field $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ of rational functions on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is purely transcendental over the field of invariants $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})^{G_{\mathbb{k}}}$.

Incidentally, it was recently proved by Jean-Lois Colliot-Thélène, Boris Kunyavskii, Vladimir Popov and Zinovy Reichstein that if the function field $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{g})$ is purely transcendental over the field of invariants $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_n , C_n or G_2 ; see [13, Thm. 0.2(b)]. In Section 4, we prove a modular version of this result valid for $p \gg 0$. As a consequence, we obtain the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic 0. If $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathcal{D}_{r,s}(\mathbb{K})$ for some r, s , then $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_n , C_n or G_2 .*

This shows that the original Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture does not hold for simple Lie algebras of types B_n , $n \geq 3$, D_n , $n \geq 4$, E_6 , E_7 , E_8 and F_4 . It seems plausible to the author that the conjecture *does* hold for simple Lie algebras of type C . The supporting evidence for that comes from [13, Thm. 0.2(a)] which says that in type C the field $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{g})$ is purely transcendental over its subfield $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Some of the results obtained in [30] might be useful for proving the conjecture in type C .

Acknowledgement. Part of this work was done during my stay at the Isaac Newton Institute (Cambridge) in May–June 2009. I would like to thank the Institute for warm hospitality and excellent working conditions. The results of this paper were announced in my talk at the final conference of the EPSRC Programme “Algebraic Lie Theory” held at the INI in June 2009. I would like to thank Boris Kunyavskii, Vladimir Popov, Andrei Rapinchuk and Rudolf Tange for some very helpful email correspondence.

2. The Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture and its modular analogues

2.1. In this paper we treat the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture as a noncommutative version of a purity problem for field extensions. In order to reduce it to a classical purity problem, as studied in birational invariant theory, we seek a passage to finite

characteristics. As a first step, we make a transit from $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ ensuring in advance that the validity of the Gelfand-Kirillov conjecture for $\mathfrak{g}$ implies that for $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$. Since $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is a finite module over its center $Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$, the field of fractions $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is a finite dimensional central division algebra over the fraction field $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ of $Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$. This enables us to apply recent results of Tange [37] on the rationality of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ to reduce the original problem about the structure of $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ to the purity problem for the field extension $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})/\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})^{G_{\mathbb{k}}}$.

2.2. In this subsection we prove our reduction theorem:

Theorem 2.1. *If the Gelfand-Kirillov conjecture holds for $\mathfrak{g}$, then it holds for $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ for all $p \gg 0$, where $\mathbb{k}$ is the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_p$.*

Proof. (A) Choose a Chevalley basis $\mathcal{B} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and denote by $U_d(\mathfrak{g})$ the d -th component of the canonical filtration of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. If the field of fractions $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic to $\text{Frac}(\mathbf{A}_N \otimes Z(\mathfrak{g}))$, where N is the number of positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$, then there exist $w_1, \dots, w_{2N} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad [w_i, w_j] &= [w_{N+i}, w_{N+j}] = 0 & (1 \leq i, j \leq N); \\ (2) \quad [w_i, w_{N+j}] &= \delta_{i,j} & (1 \leq i, j \leq N); \\ (3) \quad Q_k \cdot x_k &= P_k, & (1 \leq k \leq n) \end{aligned}$$

for some *nonzero* polynomials P_i, Q_i in $w_1, \dots, w_{2N}$ with coefficients in $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ (here (3) follows from the fact that the monomials $w_1^{a_1} w_2^{a_2} \cdots w_{2N}^{a_{2N}}$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ form a basis of the $\mathbb{k}$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $w_1, \dots, w_{2N}$). Since $w_i = v_i^{-1} u_i$ for some *nonzero* elements $u_i, v_i \in U_{d(i)}(\mathfrak{g})$, we can rewrite (1) and (2) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad v_i^{-1} u_i \cdot v_j^{-1} u_j &= v_j^{-1} u_j \cdot v_i^{-1} u_i; \\ (5) \quad v_{N+i}^{-1} u_{N+i} \cdot v_{N+j}^{-1} u_{N+j} &= v_{N+j}^{-1} u_{N+j} \cdot v_{N+i}^{-1} u_{N+i}; \\ (6) \quad v_i^{-1} u_i \cdot v_{N+j}^{-1} u_{N+j} - v_{N+j}^{-1} u_{N+j} \cdot v_i^{-1} u_i &= \delta_{i,j} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N). \end{aligned}$$

As the nonzero elements of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ form an Ore set, there are *nonzero* elements $v_{i,j}, u_{i,j} \in U_{d(i,j)}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that

$$(7) \quad v_{i,j} u_i = u_{i,j} v_j \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq 2N).$$

Thus we can rewrite (4), (5) and (6) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad v_i^{-1} v_{i,j}^{-1} \cdot u_{i,j} u_j &= v_j^{-1} v_{j,i}^{-1} \cdot u_{j,i} u_i \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N \text{ or } N \leq i, j \leq 2N) \\ (9) \quad v_i^{-1} v_{i,N+j}^{-1} \cdot u_{i,N+j} u_{N+j} &= \delta_{ij} + v_{N+j}^{-1} v_{N+j,i}^{-1} \cdot u_{N+j,i} u_{N+i} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N). \end{aligned}$$

By the same reasoning, there exist *nonzero* elements $a_{i,j}, b_{i,j} \in U_{d(i,j)}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that

$$(10) \quad a_{i,j} v_{i,j} v_i = b_{i,j} v_{j,i} v_j \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq 2N).$$

Since

$$v_{i,j} v_i (v_{j,i} v_j)^{-1} = a_{i,j}^{-1} b_{i,j},$$

it is straightforward to see that (8) and (9) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad a_{i,j} u_{i,j} u_j &= b_{i,j} u_{j,i} u_i \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N \text{ or } N \leq i, j \leq 2N) \\ (12) \quad a_{i,N+j} u_{i,N+j} u_{N+j} &= \delta_{ij} a_{i,N+j} v_{i,N+j} v_i + b_{i,N+j} u_{N+j,i} u_i \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N). \end{aligned}$$

For an m -tuple $\mathbf{i} = (i(1), i(2), \dots, i(m))$ with $1 \leq i(1) \leq i(2) \leq \dots \leq i(m) \leq 2N$ and $m \geq 3$ we select (recursively) *nonzero* elements $u_{i(1), \dots, i(k)}, v_{i(1), \dots, i(k)} \in U_{d(\mathbf{i})}(\mathfrak{g})$, where $3 \leq k \leq m$, such that

$$(13) \quad v_{i(1), \dots, i(k)} u_{i(1), \dots, i(k-1)} u_{i(k-1)} = u_{i(1), \dots, i(k)} v_{i(k)}.$$

Write $w^{\mathbf{i}} := w_{i(1)} \cdot w_{i(2)} \cdot \dots \cdot w_{i(m)} = \prod_{k=1}^m v_{i(k)}^{-1} u_{i(k)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} w^{\mathbf{i}} &= v_{i(1)}^{-1} u_{i(1)} \cdot v_{i(2)}^{-1} u_{i(2)} \cdot \prod_{k=3}^m v_{i(k)}^{-1} u_{i(k)} \\ &= v_{i(1)}^{-1} v_{i(1), i(2)}^{-1} u_{i(1), i(2)} u_{i(2)} \cdot v_{i(3)}^{-1} u_{i(3)} \cdot \prod_{k=4}^m v_{i(k)}^{-1} u_{i(k)} \\ &= v_{i(1)}^{-1} v_{i(1), i(2)}^{-1} v_{i(1), i(2), i(3)}^{-1} u_{i(1), i(2), i(3)} u_{i(3)} \cdot \prod_{k=4}^m v_{i(k)}^{-1} u_{i(k)} \\ &= \dots = \left(\prod_{k=1}^m v_{i(1), \dots, i(m-k+1)} \right)^{-1} \cdot u_{i(1), \dots, i(m)} u_{i(m)}. \end{aligned}$$

We now put $v_i := \prod_{k=1}^m v_{i(1), \dots, i(m-k+1)}$ and $u_i := u_{i(1), \dots, i(m)} u_{i(m)}$.

Let $\{\mathbf{i}(1), \dots, \mathbf{i}(r)\}$ be the set of all tuples as above with $\sum_{\ell=1}^m i(\ell) \leq M$, where $M = \max\{\deg P_i, \deg Q_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Clearly, $P_k = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_{j,k} w^{\mathbf{i}(j)}$ and $Q_k = \sum_{j=1}^r \mu_{j,k} w^{\mathbf{i}(j)}$ for some $\lambda_{j,k}, \mu_{j,k} \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$, where $1 \leq k \leq n$. The above discussion then shows that $P_k = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_{j,k} v_{\mathbf{i}(j)}^{-1} u_{\mathbf{i}(j)}$ and $Q_k = \sum_{j=1}^r \mu_{j,k} v_{\mathbf{i}(j)}^{-1} u_{\mathbf{i}(j)}$.

It is well known that $Z(\mathfrak{g})$, the centre of $U(\mathfrak{g})$, is freely generated over $\mathbb{K}$ by $l = \text{rk } \mathfrak{g}$ elements $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_l \in U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}})$. Moreover, for $p \gg 0$ the invariant algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{K}})^{G_{\mathbb{K}}} \subset Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{K}})$ with respect to the adjoint action of $G_{\mathbb{K}}$ is freely generated over $\mathbb{K}$ by $\bar{\psi}_1, \dots, \bar{\psi}_l$, the images of $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_l$ in $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{K}}) = U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{K}$; see [21, 9.6] for instance.

We can write

$$\lambda_{j,k} = \sum_{\mathbf{a}} \lambda_{j,k}(a_1, \dots, a_l) \psi_1^{a_1} \cdots \psi_l^{a_l} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{j,k} = \sum_{\mathbf{a}} \mu_{j,k}(a_1, \dots, a_l) \psi_1^{a_1} \cdots \psi_l^{a_l}$$

for some scalars $\lambda_{j,k}(a_1, \dots, a_l), \mu_{j,k}(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbb{K}$, where the summation runs over finitely many l -tuples $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^l$.

There exist *nonzero* $c_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}, d_{\mathbf{i}(j);k} \in U_{d(\mathbf{i}(j),k)}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that

$$(14) \quad u_{\mathbf{i}(j)} x_k d_{\mathbf{i}(j);k} = v_{\mathbf{i}(j)} c_{\mathbf{i}(j);k} \quad (1 \leq j \leq r, 1 \leq k \leq n).$$

Since $P_k = Q_k x_k$, we have that

$$(15) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_{j,k} v_{\mathbf{i}(j)}^{-1} u_{\mathbf{i}(j)} = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_{j,k} c_{\mathbf{i}(j);k} d_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}^{-1} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n).$$

Set $v_{\mathbf{i}(j)}(0) := v_{\mathbf{i}(j)}$, $u_{\mathbf{i}(j)}(0) := u_{\mathbf{i}(j)}$, $c_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}(0) := c_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}$, $d_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}(0) := d_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}$. For each pair (j, s) of positive integers satisfying $r \geq j > s > 0$ we select (recursively) *nonzero* elements $v_{\mathbf{i}(j)}(s), u_{\mathbf{i}(j)}(s), c_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}(s), d_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}(s) \in U_{d(\mathbf{i}(j),k,s)}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that

$$(16) \quad v_{\mathbf{i}(j)}(s) v_{\mathbf{i}(j)}(s-1) = u_{\mathbf{i}(j)}(s) v_{\mathbf{i}(j)}(s-1)$$

$$(17) \quad d_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}(s-1) c_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}(s) = d_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}(s-1) d_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}(s).$$

Multiplying both sides of (15) by $v_{\mathbf{i}(1)}$ on the left and by $d_{\mathbf{i}(1);k}$ on the right we obtain (after applying (16) and (17) with $s = 1$) that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \lambda_{1,k} u_{\mathbf{i}(1)} d_{\mathbf{i}(1);k} - \mu_{1,k} v_{\mathbf{i}(1)} c_{\mathbf{i}(1);k} \\
&+ \sum_{j=2}^r (\lambda_{j,k} v_{\mathbf{i}(1)} v_{\mathbf{i}(j)}^{-1} u_{\mathbf{i}(j)} d_{\mathbf{i}(1);k} - \mu_{j,k} v_{\mathbf{i}(1)} c_{\mathbf{i}(j);k} d_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}^{-1} d_{\mathbf{i}(1);k}) \\
&= \lambda_{1,k} u_{\mathbf{i}(1)} d_{\mathbf{i}(1);k} - \mu_{1,k} v_{\mathbf{i}(1)} c_{\mathbf{i}(1);k} \\
&+ \sum_{j=2}^r (\lambda_{j,k} v_{\mathbf{i}(j)}(1)^{-1} u_{\mathbf{i}(j)}(1) u_{\mathbf{i}(j)} d_{\mathbf{i}(1);k} - \mu_{j,k} v_{\mathbf{i}(1)} c_{\mathbf{i}(j);k} c_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}(1) d_{\mathbf{i}(1);k}(1)^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of this equality by $v_{\mathbf{i}(2)}(1)$ on the left and by $d_{\mathbf{i}(2);k}(1)$ on the right and applying (16) and (17) with $s = 2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \lambda_{1,k} v_{\mathbf{i}(2)}(1) u_{\mathbf{i}(1)} d_{\mathbf{i}(1);k} d_{\mathbf{i}(2);k}(1) - \mu_{1,k} v_{\mathbf{i}(2)}(1) v_{\mathbf{i}(1)} c_{\mathbf{i}(1);k} d_{\mathbf{i}(2);k}(1) \\
&+ \lambda_{2,k} u_{\mathbf{i}(2)}(1) u_{\mathbf{i}(1)} d_{\mathbf{i}(1);k} d_{\mathbf{i}(2);k}(1) - \mu_{2,k} v_{\mathbf{i}(2)}(1) v_{\mathbf{i}(1)} c_{\mathbf{i}(2);k} c_{\mathbf{i}(2);k}(1) \\
&+ \sum_{j=3}^r \lambda_{j,k} v_{\mathbf{i}(j)}(2)^{-1} u_{\mathbf{i}(j)}(2) u_{\mathbf{i}(j)}(1) u_{\mathbf{i}(j)} d_{\mathbf{i}(1);k} d_{\mathbf{i}(2);k}(1) \\
&- \sum_{j=3}^r \mu_{j,k} v_{\mathbf{i}(2)}(1) v_{\mathbf{i}(1)} c_{\mathbf{i}(j);k} c_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}(1) c_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}(2) d_{\mathbf{i}(2);k}(2)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Repeating this process r times we get rid of all denominators and arrive at the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
(18) \quad &\left(\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_{j,k} \prod_{\ell=1}^{r-j} v_{\mathbf{i}(r-\ell+1)}(r-\ell) \prod_{\ell=1}^j u_{\mathbf{j}(j-\ell+1)}(j-\ell) \right) \prod_{\ell=1}^r d_{\mathbf{i}(\ell);k}(\ell-1) = \\
&= \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^r v_{\mathbf{i}(r-\ell+1)}(r-\ell) \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \mu_{j,k} \prod_{\ell=1}^j c_{\mathbf{i}(\ell);k}(\ell-1) \prod_{\ell=j+1}^r d_{\mathbf{i}(\ell);k}(\ell-1) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

(at the ℓ -th step of the process we multiply the the preceding equality by $v_{\mathbf{i}(\ell)}(\ell-1)$ on the left and by $d_{\mathbf{i}(\ell);k}(\ell-1)$ on the right and then apply (16) and (17) with $s = \ell$).

(B) In part (A) we have introduced certain *nonzero* elements

$$(19) \quad u_i, v_i, u_{i,j}, v_{i,j}, a_{i,j}, b_{i,j}, u_{i(1),\dots,i(s)}, v_{i(1),\dots,i(s)}, u_{\mathbf{i}(j)}(\ell), v_{\mathbf{i}(j)}(\ell), c_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}(\ell), d_{\mathbf{i}(j);k}(\ell)$$

in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ with $i, j, k, s, \mathbf{i}(j), \ell$ ranging over finite sets of indices. These elements satisfy algebraic equations (7), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14), (16) and (17). We have also introduced, for $1 \leq k \leq r$, two *nonzero* finite collections of scalars $\{\lambda_{j,k}(a_1, \dots, a_l)\}$ and $\{\mu_{j,k}(a_1, \dots, a_l)\}$ in $\mathbb{K}$ linked with the elements (19) by equation (18).

The procedure described in part (A) shows that the above data can be parametrised by the points of a locally closed subset of an affine space $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^D$, where D is sufficiently large. More precisely, there exist finite sets $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ of polynomials in D variables with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ such that a point $x \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^D$ lies in our locally closed set if and only if and $f(x) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $g(x) \neq 0$ for some $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Let $\tilde{X}$ denote the zero locus of the set $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^D$.

Suppose the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture holds for $\mathfrak{g}$. Then there exists $x \in \tilde{X}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $g(x) \neq 0$ for some $g \in \mathcal{G}$. We set $X := \{x \in \tilde{X} \mid g(x) \neq 0\}$, a nonempty principal open subset of $\tilde{X}$. As X is an affine variety defined over the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of the field of rationals, we have that $X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \emptyset$. Hence there is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra A of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ for which $X(A) \neq \emptyset$. There are an algebraic number field K and a nonzero $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $A \subset \mathcal{O}_K[d^{-1}]$, where $\mathcal{O}_K$ denotes the ring of algebraic integers of K . Since the map $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ induced by inclusion $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$ is surjective, it must be that $X(\mathbb{k}) \neq \emptyset$ for every prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \nmid d$ (recall that $\mathbb{k}$ stands for the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_p$).

(C) When $X(\mathbb{k}) \neq \emptyset$, we can find *nonzero* elements

$u_i, v_i, u_{i,j}, v_{i,j}, a_{i,j}, b_{i,j}, u_{i(1), \dots, i(s)}, v_{i(1), \dots, i(s)}, u_{\mathbf{i}(j)}(\ell), v_{\mathbf{i}(j)}(\ell), c_{\mathbf{i}(j); k}(\ell), d_{\mathbf{i}(j); k}(\ell) \in U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ satisfying (7), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14), (16), (17) and *nonzero* collections of scalars $\{\lambda_{j,k}(a_1, \dots, a_l)\}$ and $\{\mu_{j,k}(a_1, \dots, a_l)\}$ in $\mathbb{k}$ for which the modular version of (18) holds. As all steps of the procedure described in part (A) are reversible and the nonzero elements of $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ still form an Ore set, this enables us to find $w_1, \dots, w_{2N} \in \text{Frac } U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ and *nonzero* polynomials $P_1, \dots, P_n$ and $Q_1, \dots, Q_n$ in $w_1, \dots, w_{2N}$ with coefficients in the invariant algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})^{G_{\mathbb{k}}}$ for which the modular versions of (1), (2) and (3) hold. Since the images of $x_1, \dots, x_n$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ generate $\text{Frac } U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ as a skew-field, applying [3, Lem. 1.2.3] shows that the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture holds for $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ for all $p \gg 0$. $\square$

3. The Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture and purity of field extensions

3.1. In this section we investigate the modular situation under the assumption that $p \gg 0$. We are going to apply recent results of Rudolf Tange [37] on the Zassenhaus variety of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ to show that if the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture holds for $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$, then the field $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*) = \text{Frac } S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is purely transcendental over its subfield $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)^{G_{\mathbb{k}}} = \text{Frac } S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})^{G_{\mathbb{k}}}$. To explain Tange’s results in detail we need a geometric description of the Zassenhaus variety of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$. We follow the exposition in [37] very closely.

Recall that the *Zassenhaus variety* $\mathcal{Z}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is defined as the maximal spectrum of the centre $Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ of $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}} = \text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{k}})$ carries a natural p -th power map $x \mapsto x^{[p]}$ equivariant under the adjoint action of $G_{\mathbb{k}}$. We denote by $Z_p(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ the p -centre of $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$; it is generated as a $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra by all $\eta(x) := x^p - x^{[p]}$ with $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$. It follows easily from the PBW theorem that $Z_p(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is a polynomial algebra in $\eta(x_1), \dots, \eta(x_n)$ and $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is a free $Z_p(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ -module of rank p^n . This implies that $Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is a Noetherian domain of Krull dimension $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$, thus showing that $\mathcal{Z}$ is an irreducible n -dimensional affine variety. By an old result of Zassenhaus [42], the variety $\mathcal{Z}$ is normal.

3.2. To ease notation we often identify the elements of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with their images in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$. Recall that $\mathcal{B} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a Chevalley basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then there is a maximal torus of $T \subset G$ defined and split over $\mathbb{Q}$, such that

$$\mathcal{B} = \{h_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Pi\} \cup \{e_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Phi\},$$

where Φ is the root system of G with respect to T and Π is a basis of simple roots in Φ (we adopt the standard convention that $h_{\alpha} = (d\alpha^{\vee})(1)$ where $d\alpha^{\vee}$ is the differential

at 1 of the coroot $\alpha^\vee: \mathbb{k}^\times \rightarrow T$, and e_α is a generator of the $\mathbb{Z}$ -module $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Z} \cap \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, where $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ is the α -root space of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to T).

Set $\mathfrak{t} := \text{Lie}(T)$ and denote by $T_\mathbb{k}$ the maximal torus of $G_\mathbb{k}$ obtained from T by base change. Set $\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k} := \text{Lie}(T_\mathbb{k})$, and identify the dual space $\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}^*$ with the subspace of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}^*$ consisting of all linear functions χ on $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}$ with $\chi(e_\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$. We write $X(T_\mathbb{k})$ for the group of rational characters of $T_\mathbb{k}$ and denote by W the Weyl group $N_{G_\mathbb{k}}(T_\mathbb{k})/Z_{G_\mathbb{k}}(T_\mathbb{k})$. This group is generated by reflections s_α with $\alpha \in \Phi$ and it acts naturally on both $\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}^*$.

Let Φ_+ be the positive system of Φ containing Π and let $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} \alpha$. Then $d\rho$ is and $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear combination of the da' 's with $\alpha \in \Pi$. To ease notation we write ρ instead of $d\rho$. The *dot action* of W on $\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}^*$ is defined as follows:

$$w \bullet \chi = (\chi + \rho) - \rho \quad (\forall w \in W, \chi \in \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}^*).$$

The induced dot action of W on $S(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k})$ has the property that $s_\alpha \bullet t = s_\alpha(t) - \alpha(t)$ for all $t \in \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}$ and $\alpha \in \Pi$. There exists a unique algebra isomorphism $\gamma: S(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k})$ such that $\gamma(t) = t - \rho(t)$ for all $t \in \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}$. The dot action of W is related to natural action of W on $S(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k})$ by the rule $w \bullet = \gamma^{-1} \circ w \circ \gamma$ for all $w \in W$, which gives rise to an isomorphism of invariant algebras $\gamma: S(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k})^{W \bullet} \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k})^W$.

Put $\Phi_- = -\Phi_+$ and write $\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{k}^\pm$ for the $\mathbb{k}$ -span of the e_α 's with $\alpha \in \Phi_\pm$. Then $S(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}) = S(\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{k}^-) \otimes_\mathbb{k} S(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}) \otimes_\mathbb{k} S(\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{k}^+)$ and $U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}) = U(\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{k}^-) \otimes_\mathbb{k} U(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}) \otimes_\mathbb{k} U(\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{k}^+)$ as vector spaces. Write $S_+(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})$ and $U_+(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})$ for the augmentation ideals of $S(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})$, respectively, and denote by Ψ (resp., $\tilde{\Psi}$) the linear map from $S(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})$ onto $S(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k})$ (resp., from $U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})$ onto $U(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}) = S(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k})$) taking $u \otimes h \otimes v$ with $u \in S(\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{k}^-)$, $h \in S(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k})$, $v \in S(\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{k}^+)$ (resp., $u \in U(\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{k}^-)$, $h \in U(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k})$, $v \in U(\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{k}^+)$) to $u_0 h v_0$, where x_0 is the scalar part of $x \in S(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})$ (resp., $x \in U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})$) with respect to the decomposition $S(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}) = \mathbb{k}1 \oplus S_+(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})$ (resp., $U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}) = \mathbb{k}1 \oplus U_+(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})$). Note that the map Ψ is an algebra epimorphism and so is the restriction of $\tilde{\Psi}$ to $U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})^{T_\mathbb{k}}$.

For $g \in G_\mathbb{k}$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}$, $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}^*$ we write $g \cdot x$ for $(\text{Ad } g)(x)$ and $g \cdot \chi$ for $(\text{Ad}^* g)(\chi)$. Since $p \gg 0$, the Chevalley restriction theorem holds for $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}$, that is, the restriction of Ψ to $S(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})^{G_\mathbb{k}}$ induces an isomorphism of invariant algebras

$$(20) \quad \Psi: S(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})^{G_\mathbb{k}} \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k})^W.$$

As p is large, we can argue as in the proof of Proposition 2.1 in [39] to deduce that the restriction of $\tilde{\Psi}$ to $U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})^{G_\mathbb{k}} \subset U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})^{T_\mathbb{k}}$ induces an algebra isomorphism

$$(21) \quad \tilde{\Psi}: U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})^{G_\mathbb{k}} \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k})^{W \bullet}.$$

(in fact, this holds under very mild assumptions on p ; see [26, Lem. 5.4]).

3.3. As the Killing form κ of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}$ is nondegenerate for almost primes p , we may identify the $G_\mathbb{k}$ -modules $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}^*$ by means of Killing isomorphism $\kappa: \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k} \ni x \mapsto \kappa(x, \cdot) \in \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}^*$. If $\chi = \kappa(x, \cdot) \in \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}^*$ and $x = x_s + x_n$ is the Jordan–Chevalley decomposition of x in the restricted Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}$, then we define $\chi_s := \kappa(x_s, \cdot)$ and $\chi_n := \kappa(x_n, \cdot)$. We call χ_s and χ_n the *semisimple* and *nilpotent* part of χ . Denote by $(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k})_{\text{reg}}$ the set of all regular elements of $\mathfrak{t}$ and put $(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}^*)_{\text{reg}} := \kappa((\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k})_{\text{reg}})$. The elements of $(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}^*)_{\text{reg}}$ are called *regular linear functions* on $\mathfrak{t}$. Note that $\chi \in (\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}^*)_{\text{reg}}$ if and only if $\chi = \kappa(t, \cdot)$ for some $t \in \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}$ whose centraliser in $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}$ equals $\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}$. It follows that $\chi \in (\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}^*)_{\text{reg}}$ if and only if $\chi(h_\alpha) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$. In view of [36, Cor. 2.6], this implies that $\chi \in (\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{k}^*)_{\text{reg}}$ if and

only if the stabiliser of χ in W is trivial. As a consequence, $Z_{G_{\mathbb{k}}}(\chi) = T_{\mathbb{k}}$ for every $\chi \in (\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)_{\text{reg}}$.

Denote by $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})_{\text{rs}}$ the set of all regular semisimple elements of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$. Since every semisimple element of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ lies in the Lie algebra of a maximal torus of $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ and all maximal tori of $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ are conjugate, we have the equality $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})_{\text{rs}} = G_{\mathbb{k}} \cdot (\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}})_{\text{reg}}$; see [24, § 13] or [5, 4.5]. We set $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)_{\text{rs}} := \kappa(G_{\mathbb{k}} \cdot (\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}})_{\text{reg}})$ and call the elements of $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)_{\text{rs}}$ *regular semisimple linear functions* on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$.

Now define $\bar{H} := \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi} h_{\alpha}$, an element of $S(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}})^W$, and pick $H \in S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})^{G_{\mathbb{k}}}$ such that $\Psi(H) = \bar{H}$. It is well known (and easy to see when $p \gg 0$) that for all $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*$ and $f \in S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})^{G_{\mathbb{k}}}$ one has $f(\chi) = f(\chi_s)$. As $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)_{\text{rs}} = G_{\mathbb{k}} \cdot (\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)_{\text{reg}}$ and $\chi \in (\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)_{\text{reg}}$ if and only if $\bar{H}(\chi) \neq 0$, the $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ -conjugacy of maximal toral subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ implies that

$$(22) \quad (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)_{\text{rs}} = \{\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^* \mid H(\chi) \neq 0\}$$

is a principal Zariski open subset of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*$. The Weyl group W acts on the affine variety $(G_{\mathbb{k}}/T_{\mathbb{k}}) \times (\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)_{\text{reg}}$ by the rule $w(gT_{\mathbb{k}}, \lambda) = (gw^{-1}T_{\mathbb{k}}, w(\lambda))$ and this action commutes with the left regular action of $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ on the first factor. It follows from [4, Prop. II. 6.6 and Thm. AG. 17.3] that the coadjoint action-morphism gives rise to a $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ -equivariant isomorphism of affine algebraic varieties

$$((G_{\mathbb{k}}/T_{\mathbb{k}}) \times (\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)_{\text{reg}})/W \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)_{\text{rs}};$$

see [37, 1.3] for more detail.

3.4. For a vector space V over $\mathbb{k}$ the *Frobenius twist* $V^{(1)}$ is defined as the vector space over $\mathbb{k}$ with the same underlying abelian group as V and with scalar multiplication given by $\lambda \cdot v := \lambda^{1/p}v$ for all $v \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$. The polynomial functions on $V^{(1)}$ are the p -th powers of those on V . The identity map $V \rightarrow V^{(1)}$ is a bijective closed morphism of affine varieties, called the *Frobenius morphism*. The image of a subset $Y \subseteq V$ under this morphism is denoted by $Y^{(1)}$. The Frobenius twist of a $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra V is defined similarly: the scalar multiplication is modified as above, but the product in V is unchanged. If V has an $\mathbb{F}_p$ -structure and $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ acts on V as algebra automorphisms via a rational representation $\rho: G_{\mathbb{k}} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_p$, then $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ also acts on $V^{(1)}$ (as algebra automorphisms) via the rational representation $\rho \circ \text{Fr}$, where Fr is the Frobenius endomorphism of $G_{\mathbb{k}}$. This action coincides with the one given by composing ρ with the Frobenius endomorphism of $\text{GL}(V)$ associated by the $\mathbb{F}_p$ -structure of V .

The preceding remark applies in the case where $V = S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ and $\rho: G_{\mathbb{k}} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ is the rational $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ -action by algebra automorphisms extending the adjoint action of $G_{\mathbb{k}}$. The $\mathbb{F}_p$ -structure of $S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is given by the canonical isomorphism $S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}) \cong S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{k}$ where $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{F}_p} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p$. Thus, there is a $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra isomorphism $\phi: S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ such that $\phi(g \cdot f) = (g^{\text{Fr}})(\phi(f))$ for all $g \in G_{\mathbb{k}}$ and $f \in S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})^{(1)}$.

The rule $g \star f := \phi^{-1}(g(\phi(f)))$ defines a rational action of $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ on $S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})^{(1)} = \mathbb{k}[(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)})^*] \cong \mathbb{k}[(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)^{(1)}]$. In [37], the induced action of $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ on $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)^{(1)}$ is called the *star action*. By construction, it has the property that

$$(23) \quad g^{\text{Fr}} \star \chi = g \cdot \chi \quad (\forall g \in G_{\mathbb{k}}, \chi \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)^{(1)}).$$

It was first observed in [27] that the algebra map $\eta: S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})^{(1)} = S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}) \rightarrow Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ sending $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ to $\eta(x) \in Z_p(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is a $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ -equivariant algebra isomorphism. One checks

easily that $\eta \circ \Psi = \tilde{\Psi} \circ \eta$. Also, $\gamma(\eta(t)) = \eta(t)$ for all $t \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}$, which stems from the fact that $\rho(t^{[p]}) = \rho(t)^p$.

3.5. In [37], Tange introduced a principal open subset $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{rs}}$ of $\mathcal{Z}$ and showed that it is isomorphic to a principal open subset of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*$ contained in $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)_{\text{rs}}$. In order to explain his construction in detail we need a more explicit description of the variety $\mathcal{Z}$.

Recall from Sect. 2 that $Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})^{G_{\mathbb{k}}} = U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})^{G_{\mathbb{k}}} = \mathbb{k}[\bar{\psi}_1, \dots, \bar{\psi}_l]$ is a polynomial algebra in l variables, where $\bar{\psi}_1, \dots, \bar{\psi}_l$ are the images in $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ of algebraically independent generators $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_l$ of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ contained in $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}})$. In view of (21) and properties of γ , this implies that both $S(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}})^{W_{\bullet}}$ and $S(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}})^W$ are polynomial algebras in l variables. It is worth mentioning that the map in (20) gives rise to a natural isomorphism $S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)})^{G_{\mathbb{k}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)})^W$.

By Veldkamp's theorem,

$$Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}) \cong Z_p(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}) \otimes_{Z_p(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})^{G_{\mathbb{k}}}} U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})^{G_{\mathbb{k}}}$$

and, moreover, $Z_p(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is a free $Z_p(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ -module with basis $\{\bar{\psi}_1^{a_1} \cdots \bar{\psi}_l^{a_l} \mid 0 \leq a_i \leq p-1\}$; see [39]. A geometric interpretation of Veldkamp's theorem is given in [29]. Following [37, 1.6] we let $\xi: \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)})^*$ be the morphism induced by $\eta: S(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}) = S(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}})$ and let $\zeta: (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)})^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)})^*/W$ be the morphism associated with the composite

$$\mathbb{k}[(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)})^*]^W \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{k}[(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)})^*]^{G_{\mathbb{k}}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{k}[(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)})^*],$$

where the first isomorphism is induced by Ψ^{-1} . Let $\pi: (\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)})^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)})^*/W$ and $\pi_{\bullet}: \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^*/W_{\bullet}$ be the quotient morphisms. Note that $\xi(\lambda)(t) = \lambda(t)^p - \lambda(t^{[p]})$. If λ lies in the $\mathbb{F}_p$ -span of Π , then $\lambda(t)^p = \lambda(t^{[p]})$ for all $t \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}$ because $h_{\alpha}^{[p]} = h_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$. Thus, $\xi(\lambda) = 0$ in that case. Applying this with $\lambda = \rho$, we see that $\xi(w_{\bullet}\lambda) = \xi(w(\lambda)) = w(\xi(\lambda))$ for all $t \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and $w \in W$. Also, $\zeta(\chi) = \pi(\chi'_s)$, where χ'_s is a $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ -conjugate of χ_s that lies in $(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)})^*$ (it is important here that $\pi(\chi'_s)$ is independent of the choice of χ'_s , which follows from the fact that the intersection of $(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)})^*$ with $G_{\mathbb{k}} \cdot \chi$ is a single W -orbit in $(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)})^*$). Finally, define $\nu: (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)})^*$ by setting $\nu(\chi) = \chi^p$ for all $\chi \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)^{(1)}$. By [29, Cor. 3], there is a canonical $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$(24) \quad \mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)^{(1)} \times_{(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)^{(1)}/W} \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^*/W_{\bullet}$$

where the $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ -action on the fibre product is given by from the coadjoint action on the first factor, the morphism $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^*/W_{\bullet} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)})^*/W$ is induced by ξ and the morphism $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)^{(1)} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^{(1)})^*/W$ is the composite of ν and ζ .

3.6. In what follows we identify $\mathcal{Z}$ with a closed subset of the affine space $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)^{(1)} \times \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^*/W_{\bullet}$ by means of isomorphism (24). Note that $(\chi, \pi_{\bullet}(\lambda)) \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)^{(1)} \times \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^*/W_{\bullet}$ belongs to $\mathcal{Z}$ if and only if there exists $w \in W$ such that

$$\lambda(t)^p - \lambda(t^{[p]}) = w(\chi'_s)^p \quad (\forall t \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}})$$

where $\chi'_s \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{k}}^* \cap (G_{\mathbb{k}} \cdot \chi_s)$.

Recall that $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ operates on $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)^{(1)}$ via the star action (23). From the above discussion it follows that this action gives rise to the star action on the Zassenhaus variety

$\mathcal{Z}$ via:

$$(25) \quad g \star (\chi, \pi_\bullet(\lambda)) := (g \star \chi, \pi_\bullet(\lambda)) \quad (\forall (\chi, \pi_\bullet(\lambda)) \in \mathcal{Z}).$$

Following [37, Sect. 2], we now define $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{rs}} := \text{pr}_1^{-1}((\mathfrak{g}_{\text{rs}}^*)^{(1)})$, where $\text{pr}_1: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}}^*)^{(1)}$ is the first projection. In view of (22) it is straightforward to see that

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{rs}} = \{(\chi, \pi_\bullet(\lambda)) \in \mathcal{Z} \mid H^p(\chi) \neq 0\}$$

is a nonempty principal open subset of $\mathcal{Z}$.

Set $\bar{F} := \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi} (h_\alpha^p - h_\alpha)$, an element of $S(\mathfrak{t}_{\text{k}})^W$, and pick $F \in S(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})^{G_{\text{k}}}$ with $\Psi(F) = \bar{F}$. Note that $H \mid F$ because $\bar{H}$ divides $\bar{F}$. Define

$$(\mathfrak{t}_{\text{k}}^*)'_{\text{rs}} := \{\chi \in \mathfrak{t}_{\text{k}}^* \mid \bar{F}(\chi) \neq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}}^*)'_{\text{rs}} := \{\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}}^* \mid F(\chi) \neq 0\}.$$

Clearly, $(\mathfrak{t}_{\text{k}}^*)'_{\text{rs}}$ consists of all $\chi \in \mathfrak{t}_{\text{k}}^*$ with $\chi(h_\alpha) \notin \mathbb{F}_p$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$. The preceding remark shows that $(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}}^*)'_{\text{rs}}$ is a principal open subset of $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}}^*$ contained in the principal open set $(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}}^*)_{\text{rs}} = G_{\text{k}} \cdot (\mathfrak{t}_{\text{k}}^*)_{\text{rs}}$. Therefore, $(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}}^*)'_{\text{rs}} = G_{\text{k}} \cdot (\mathfrak{t}_{\text{k}}^*)'_{\text{rs}}$. By [37, Thm. 1], there is an isomorphism of algebraic varieties $\beta: \mathcal{Z}_{\text{rs}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}}^*)'_{\text{rs}}$ which intertwines the star action of G_{k} on $\mathcal{Z}$ with the coadjoint action in the following sense:

$$(26) \quad \beta(g \star (\chi, \pi_\bullet(\lambda))) = g \cdot \beta((\chi, \pi_\bullet(\lambda))) \quad (\forall (\chi, \pi_\bullet(\lambda)) \in \mathcal{Z}_{\text{rs}}).$$

3.7. For $1 \leq i \leq l$ set $\varphi_i := \text{gr } \psi_i$, a homogeneous element of $S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}) = \text{gr } U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}})$. Since $p \gg 0$, we may also assume that the elements $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_l$ generate the invariant algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and their images $\bar{\varphi}_1, \dots, \bar{\varphi}_l$ in $S(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}}) = S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$ generate $S(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})^{G_{\text{k}}}$.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section:

Theorem 3.1. *If the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture holds for $\mathfrak{g}$, then for all $p \gg 0$ the field of rational functions $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}}^*) = \text{Frac } S(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})$ is purely transcendental over its subfield $k(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}}^*)^{G_{\text{k}}} = k(\bar{\varphi}_1, \dots, \bar{\varphi}_l)$.*

Proof. Suppose the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture holds for $\mathfrak{g}$. Theorem 2.1 then says that it holds for $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}}$ for all $p \gg 0$. More precisely, it follows from the proof of Theorem 2.1 that $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})$ is generated as a skew-field by $\bar{\psi}_1, \dots, \bar{\psi}_l \in Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})$ and elements $w_1, \dots, w_{2N}$ which satisfy relations (1) and (2) (here $N = |\Phi_+|$). For $1 \leq i \leq 2N$ set $z_i := w_i^p$. Since $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}}) \cong \mathcal{D}_{N,l}(\mathbb{k})$ as $\mathbb{k}$ -algebras, the elements $z_1, \dots, z_{2N}$ are central in $\text{Frac } U(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})$. Moreover, the centre of $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})$ is $\mathbb{k}(z_1, \dots, z_{2N}, \bar{\psi}_1, \dots, \bar{\psi}_l)$ and the elements $z_1, \dots, z_{2N}, \bar{\psi}_1, \dots, \bar{\psi}_l$ are algebraically independent; see [3, 1.1.3] for more detail.

On the other hand, it is well known that in the modular case $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})$ is the central localisation of $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})$ by the set $Z_p(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})^\times$ of nonzero elements of $Z_p(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})$. Likewise, the centre of $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})$ is the localisation of $Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})$ by the set $Z_p(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})^\times$. It follows that the centre of $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})$ equals $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}}) = \mathcal{Q}_p[\bar{\psi}_1, \dots, \bar{\psi}_l]$, where $\mathcal{Q}_p$ is the field of fractions of $Z_p(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})$. Since the $\mathcal{Q}_p$ -vector space $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})$ has a basis consisting of monomials in $\bar{\psi}_1, \dots, \bar{\psi}_l$, it is straightforward to see that the field of invariants $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})^{G_{\text{k}}}$ coincides with $\mathcal{Q}_p^{G_{\text{k}}}[\bar{\psi}_1, \dots, \bar{\psi}_l]$. As $Z_p(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})$ is a polynomial algebra and the connected group G_{k} coincides with its derived subgroup, we have that $\mathcal{Q}_p^{G_{\text{k}}} = \text{Frac } Z_p(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})^{G_{\text{k}}}$. This shows that $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})^{G_{\text{k}}} = \text{Frac } Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}})^{G_{\text{k}}} = \mathbb{k}(\bar{\psi}_1, \dots, \bar{\psi}_l)$. We thus deduce that

$$(27) \quad \mathbb{k}(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}_{\text{k}}) = \mathbb{k}(z_1, \dots, z_{2N}, \bar{\psi}_1, \dots, \bar{\psi}_l) = \mathbb{k}(\mathcal{Z})^{G_{\text{k}}}(z_1, \dots, z_{2N})$$

is purely transcendental over the field of invariants $\mathbb{k}(\mathcal{Z})^{G_k} = \mathbb{k}(\overline{\psi}_1, \dots, \overline{\psi}_l)$.

Recall that in our geometric realisation (24) the ordinary action of G_k on $\mathcal{Z}$ is given by $g \cdot (\chi, \pi_\bullet(\lambda)) = (g \cdot \chi, \pi_\bullet(\lambda))$ for all $g \in G_k$ and all $(\chi, \pi_\bullet(\lambda)) \in \mathcal{Z}$. Since in (24) we regard χ as an element of $(\mathfrak{g}_k^*)^{(1)}$, comparing this with (23) and (25) yields that every orbit with respect to the ordinary action of G_k on $\mathcal{Z}$ is an orbit of G_k with respect to the star action and vice versa. From this it follows that both actions have the same rational invariants.

The comorphism of $\beta^{-1}: (\mathfrak{g}_k^*)'_{rs} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Z}_{rs}$ induces a field isomorphism between $\mathbb{k}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_k^*)$; we call it b . Combining (26) with the preceding remark one observes that b sends the subfield $\mathbb{k}(\mathcal{Z})^{G_k} = \mathbb{k}(\overline{\psi}_1, \dots, \overline{\psi}_l)$ onto $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_k^*)^{G_k}$. But then (27) shows that $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_k^*) = \mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_k^*)^{G_k}(b(z_1), \dots, b(z_{2N}))$ is purely transcendental over $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_k^*)^{G_k} = \mathbb{k}(\overline{\varphi}_1, \dots, \overline{\varphi}_l)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.1. Combining Theorem 3.1 with the Killing isomorphism $\kappa: \mathfrak{g}_k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{g}_k^*$ we see that for all $p \gg 0$ the field of rational functions $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_k)$ is purely transcendental over its subfield $k(\mathfrak{g}_k)^{G_k}$.

4. Purity, generic tori and base change

4.1. We keep the notation introduced in Sections 2 and 3 and assume that $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) = p \gg 0$. Recall that $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \{h_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi\} \cup \{e_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi\}$ is a Chevalley basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and we identify the x_i 's with their images in $\mathfrak{g}_k$. Write $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ and let $\{X_1, \dots, X_l\}$ and $\{X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi\}$ be two sets of independent variables. Set $K := \mathbb{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_l)$ and $\tilde{K} := K(X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi)$ and denote by K_p an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{k}(X_1, \dots, X_l)$. Write $\tilde{K}_p := K_p(X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi)$ and denote by $\mathbb{K}_p$ an algebraic closure of $\tilde{K}_p$. To ease notation we shall assume that $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebraic closure of $\tilde{K}$ (this will cause no confusion).

Given a field F we write $\mathfrak{g}_F$ for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F$ over F and denote by G_F the simple, simply connected algebraic F -group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_F$. Let $\tilde{t} := \sum_{i=1}^l X_i h_{\alpha_i}$ and $\tilde{x} := \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} X_\alpha e_\alpha$. Since the X_i 's are algebraically independent, $\tilde{t}$ is a regular semisimple element of $\mathfrak{g}_K$ contained in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}[X_1, \dots, X_l]$. Its image $\tilde{t}_p := \tilde{t} \otimes 1 \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}[X_1, \dots, X_l] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$ is a regular semisimple element of $\mathfrak{g}_{K_p}$. The image of $\tilde{x}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}[X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$ is denoted by $\tilde{x}_p$. Set $\tilde{y} := \tilde{t} + \tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{y}_p := \tilde{t}_p + \tilde{x}_p$. These are regular semisimple elements of $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{K}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{K}_p}$, respectively.

Write G_p for the group $G_{\mathbb{K}_p}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_p$ for its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{K}_p}$. Given a closed subgroup H of G_p defined over $\tilde{K}_p$ we write H_p for the group $H(\mathbb{K}_p)$. Set $T^{\text{gen}} := Z_G(\tilde{y})$ and $T_p^{\text{gen}} := Z_{G_p}(\tilde{y}_p)$. It follows from [5, 4.3] that T^{gen} and T_p^{gen} are maximal tori of G and G_p defined over $\tilde{K}$ and $\tilde{K}_p$, respectively. Let $\mathfrak{t}^{\text{gen}} := \text{Lie}(T^{\text{gen}})$ and $\mathfrak{t}_p^{\text{gen}} := \text{Lie}(T_p^{\text{gen}})$.

4.2. Let $\mathcal{T}_p$ be the variety of maximal toral subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_p$. As all maximal toral subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_p$ are conjugate under G_p and the normaliser N_p of $\mathfrak{t}_p := \mathfrak{t}_k \otimes_k \mathbb{K}_p$ in G_p is a reductive group, $\mathcal{T}_p \cong G_p/N_p$ is an affine algebraic variety. It follows from a well known result of Grothendieck [16, Exp. XIV, Thm. 6.2] that the variety $\mathcal{T}_p$ is K_p -rational. More precisely, let $\mathfrak{m}_p$ be orthogonal complement to $\mathfrak{t}_p$ with respect to the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}_{K_p}$. A natural K_p -defined birational isomorphism between $\mathcal{T}_p$ and $\mathfrak{m}_p$ can be obtained as follows; see [5, 7.9]:

The set $\mathcal{T}_p^\circ$ of all $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{T}_p$ with $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{m}_p = 0$ is open, nonempty in $\mathcal{T}_p$ and the set

$$\mathfrak{m}_p^\circ := \{m \in \mathfrak{m}_p \mid \tilde{t}_p + m \in (\mathfrak{g}_p)_{\text{rs}} \text{ and } \text{ad}(\tilde{t}_p + m)|_{\mathfrak{m}_p} \text{ is injective}\}$$

is open, nonempty in $\mathfrak{m}_p$. For every $m \in \mathfrak{m}_p^\circ$ the centralizer of $\tilde{t}_p + m$ in $\mathfrak{g}_p$ is an element of $\mathcal{T}_p^\circ$. Since for every $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{T}_p^\circ$ there exists a unique $m = m(\mathfrak{h}) \in \mathfrak{m}_p$ with $\tilde{t}_p + m \in \mathfrak{h}$, the map $\mu: \mathcal{T}_p^\circ \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}_p^\circ$, $\mathfrak{h} \mapsto m(\mathfrak{h})$, gives rise to a K_p -defined birational isomorphism between $\mathcal{T}_p$ and $\mathfrak{m}_p$. The K_p -defined birational map μ enables us to identify the field $K_p(\mathcal{T}_p)$ with $K_p(\mathfrak{m}_p) \cong K_p(X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi) = \tilde{K}_p$. It is straightforward to see that $\tilde{x}_p \in \mathfrak{m}_p^\circ$ and $\mu(\mathfrak{t}_p^{\text{gen}}) = \tilde{t}_p + \tilde{x}_p = \tilde{y}_p$. Since the field $K_p(\tilde{x}_p) = K_p(\tilde{y}_p)$ is nothing but $\tilde{K}_p$, we now deduce that $\mathfrak{t}_p^{\text{gen}}$ is a generic point of the K_p -variety $\mathcal{T}_p$.

4.3. Recall that $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_l$ are free generators of $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ contained in $S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ and such that $S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})^{G_{\mathbb{k}}} = \mathbb{k}[\overline{\varphi}_1, \dots, \overline{\varphi}_l]$, where $\overline{\varphi}_i = \varphi_i \otimes 1 \in S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k} = S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$. We identify the $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ -modules $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*$ by means the Killing isomorphism κ ; see Remark 3.1. Thus, we may regard $\overline{\varphi}_1, \dots, \overline{\varphi}_l$ as free generators of the invariant algebra $\mathbb{k}[\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}]^{G_{\mathbb{k}}}$.

Let Y_p be the fibre $\overline{\varphi}^{-1}(\tilde{y}_p)$ of the adjoint quotient map $\overline{\varphi}: \mathfrak{g}_p \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_p // G_p$, that is,

$$Y_p := \{y \in \mathfrak{g}_p \mid \overline{\varphi}_i(y) = \overline{\varphi}_i(\tilde{y}_p) \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq l\}.$$

As $p \gg 0$, all fibres of $\overline{\varphi}$ are irreducible complete intersections of dimension $n - l$ in the affine space $\mathfrak{g}_p$; see [39] for more detail. Since $\tilde{y}_p$ is regular semisimple, the orbit $G_p \cdot \tilde{y}_p$ is Zariski closed in $\mathfrak{g}_p$ and dense in Y_p . This shows that $Y_p = G_p \cdot \tilde{y}_p$ is a smooth variety and the defining ideal of Y_p is generated by the regular functions $\overline{\varphi}_1 - \overline{\varphi}_1(\tilde{y}_p), \dots, \overline{\varphi}_l - \overline{\varphi}_l(\tilde{y}_p)$. Since $\tilde{y}_p$ is regular semisimple, the orbit map $G_p \rightarrow Y_p$ is separable. Applying [4, Prop. II.6.6], we now deduce that the $\tilde{K}_p$ -varieties G_p/T_p^{gen} and Y_p are $\tilde{K}_p$ -isomorphic (recall from (4.1) that T_p^{gen} is the centraliser of $\tilde{y}_p$ in G_p).

4.4. Our next result is inspired by [13, Thm. 4.9]. The argument in [13] exploits the notion of versality of (G, S) -fibrations introduced in [13, Sect. 3] and seems to rely on the characteristic zero hypothesis (see the footnote on p. 20 of [13]). Our argument is different and it works under very mild assumptions on the characteristic of the base field.

Proposition 4.1. *If the field $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)$ is purely transcendental over $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)^{G_{\mathbb{k}}}$, then the homogeneous space G_p/T_p^{gen} is $\tilde{K}_p$ -birational to an affine space.*

Proof. If $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)$ is purely transcendental over $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*)^{G_{\mathbb{k}}}$, then there exist $F_1, \dots, F_{2N} \in \mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ such that $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{k}(F_1, \dots, F_{2N}, \overline{\varphi}_1, \dots, \overline{\varphi}_l)$. Then the rational map $F: \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^{2N} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^l$ taking $y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ to $F(y) := ((F_1(y), \dots, F_{2N}(y)), (\overline{\varphi}_1(y), \dots, \overline{\varphi}_l(y))) \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^{2N} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^l$ induces a $\mathbb{k}$ -isomorphism $F: U \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ between a $\mathbb{k}$ -defined nonempty open subset U of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and a $\mathbb{k}$ -defined nonempty open subset V of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^{2N} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^l$.

Since $f(\tilde{y}_p) \neq 0$ for every nonzero $f \in \mathbb{k}[\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}]$ and $U = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}} \setminus Z$ for some Zariski closed $Z \subsetneq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ defined over $\mathbb{k}$, we see that $\tilde{y}_p \in U_p := \mathfrak{g}_p \setminus Z(\mathbb{K}_p)$. Likewise, $V = (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^{2N} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^l) \setminus Z'$ for some Zariski closed subset $Z' \subsetneq \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^{2N} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^l$ defined over $\mathbb{k}$. We set $V_p := (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}_p}^{2N} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}_p}^l) \setminus Z'(\mathbb{K}_p)$ and observe that F gives rise to a $\mathbb{k}$ -defined isomorphism between U_p and V_p .

Put $Y_p^\circ := Y_p \cap U_p$. As $\tilde{y}_p \in Y_p^\circ$, we see that Y_p° is a nonempty closed subset of U_p defined over $\tilde{K}_p$. Furthermore, $\dim Y_p^\circ = n - l = 2N$. Therefore, $F(Y_p^\circ)$ is a $2N$ -dimensional nonempty closed subset of V_p . On the other hand, it is immediate from the definition of F and our discussion in (4.3) that $F(Y_p^\circ) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{\tilde{K}_p}^{2N} \times \text{pt.}$ This implies that $F(Y_p^\circ)$ is $\tilde{K}_p$ -isomorphic to a Zariski open subset of $\mathbb{A}_{\tilde{K}_p}^{2N}$ defined over $\tilde{K}_p$. Since Y_p is $\tilde{K}_p$ -isomorphic to G_p/T_p^{gen} by our discussion in (4.3) and $F(Y_p^\circ)$ is $\tilde{K}_p$ -isomorphic to Y_p° , we conclude that the homogeneous space G_p/T_p^{gen} is rational over $\tilde{K}_p$. $\square$

4.5. In order to adapt the proof of the crucial Theorem 6.3 from [13] to our modular setting we need a smooth projective model of G_p/T_p^{gen} defined over $\tilde{K}_p$, that is, a smooth projective $\tilde{K}_p$ -variety Y_p^c together with an open embedding $G_p/T_p^{\text{gen}} \hookrightarrow Y_p^c$ defined over $\tilde{K}_p$.

Proposition 4.2. *For all $p \gg 0$ the variety G_p/T_p^{gen} has a smooth projective model defined over $\tilde{K}_p$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} // G$ be the adjoint quotient map and set $Y := \varphi^{-1}(\tilde{y})$. Arguing as in (4.3) we observe that $Y = G \cdot \tilde{y}$ is a smooth variety and the defining ideal of Y is generated by the regular functions $\varphi_i - \varphi_i(\tilde{y})$, where $1 \leq i \leq l$. Our discussion in (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) now shows that there exists a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra R of $\tilde{K} = K(\mathcal{T})$ and an affine flat scheme $\mathcal{Y}$ of finite type over $S := \text{Spec}(R)$ such that

$$Y = \mathcal{Y} \times_S \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_p = \mathcal{Y} \times_S \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}_p) \quad (\forall p \gg 0).$$

By Hironaka's theorem on resolution of singularities there exists a smooth projective $K(\mathcal{T})$ -variety $Y^c \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^d$ and an open immersion $\omega: Y \rightarrow Y^c$ defined over $K(\mathcal{T})$. Let Γ_ω denote the graph of ω . Since all projective schemes are separated, $\Gamma_\omega = \{(y, \omega(y)) \mid y \in Y\}$ is a closed subset of $Y \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^d$; see [35, p. 47]. As $K(\mathcal{T})$ is a perfect field, Γ_ω is defined over $K(\mathcal{T})$; see [4, AG, § 14] for detail.

Let $\tilde{R}$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra of $K(\mathcal{T})$ containing R and all elements which we need to define ω , Y^c , Γ_ω , and the field isomorphism $K(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} K(Y^c)$ induced by the rational inverse of the comorphism ω^* . Then we obtain a projective scheme $\mathcal{Y}^c$ of finite type over $\tilde{S} := \text{Spec}(\tilde{R})$ and an $\tilde{S}$ -morphism $\tilde{\omega}: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^c$ whose base change to $\text{Spec}(\tilde{K})$ is $\omega: Y \rightarrow Y^c$. We also obtain an $\tilde{S}$ -subscheme $\tilde{\Gamma}_\omega$ of $\mathcal{Y} \times_{\tilde{S}} \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{R}}^d$ such that $\Gamma_\omega = \tilde{\Gamma}_\omega \times_{\tilde{S}} \text{Spec}(K(\mathcal{T}))$. By localising further as necessary we may assume that the scheme $\mathcal{Y}^c$ is smooth over $\tilde{S}$ and the schemes $\mathcal{Y}$, $\mathcal{Y}^c$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_\omega$ are flat over $\tilde{S}$. We let $\pi: \tilde{\Gamma}_\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ denote the first projection.

Given a closed point $s \in \tilde{S}$ and an $\tilde{S}$ -scheme $\mathcal{V}$ we write $\kappa(s)$ for the residue field of the local ring of s and $\mathcal{V}_s$ for the scheme-theoretical fibre $\mathcal{V} \times_{\tilde{S}} \text{Spec}(\kappa(s))$. It follows from the above discussion that for every closed point $s \in \tilde{S}$ the schemes $\mathcal{Y}_s$ and $\mathcal{Y}_s^c$ are smooth and the base change $\tilde{\omega}_s: \mathcal{Y}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_s^c$ is birational.

If A is the affine coordinate ring of $\mathcal{Y}$, then $\tilde{\Gamma}_\omega \subseteq \mathcal{Y} \times_{\tilde{R}} \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{R}}^d$ corresponds to a graded A -algebra $B = B_0 \oplus B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus \dots$ with $B_0 = A$ generated over A by $d+1$ elements. By [18, Thm. 14.8], there is an ideal J of A such that for every prime ideal P of A the algebra $\text{Frac}(A/P) \otimes_A B$ has positive Krull dimension if and only if $P \supseteq J$. We

denote by $\mathcal{Y}'$ the closed subscheme of $\mathcal{Y}$ corresponding to the ideal J . Then for every closed point $s \in \tilde{S}$ we have that $x \in \mathcal{Y}'_s$ if and only if the fibre $\pi_s^{-1}(x)$ of the base change $\pi_s: (\Gamma_{\tilde{\omega}})_s \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_s$ has positive dimension.

Set $Y' := \mathcal{Y}' \times_{\tilde{S}} \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{K})$. Since Γ_{ω} is closed and ω is injective, the set $Y'_{\operatorname{red}}(\mathbb{K})$ is empty. In conjunction with Hilbert's Nullstellensatz this implies that the ideal $J \otimes_{\tilde{R}} \tilde{K}$ of $A \otimes_{\tilde{R}} \tilde{K} = \tilde{K}[Y]$ coincides with $\tilde{K}[Y]$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i q_i = 1$ for some $q_1, \dots, q_k \in J$ and $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \tilde{K} = \operatorname{Frac} \tilde{R}$. Localising $\tilde{R}$ further, we may assume that all c_i 's are in $\tilde{R}$. Then the above discussion shows that for every closed point $s \in \tilde{S}$ the reduced fibres of $\tilde{\omega}_s: \mathcal{Y}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_s^c$ are finite.

Since $\tilde{R}$ is a Noetherian domain whose field of fractions is $\tilde{K} = K(X_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Phi)$, for every $p \gg 0$ there exists $s \in \operatorname{Spec}(\tilde{R})$ with $\kappa(s) = \mathbb{F}_p(X_1, \dots, X_l)(X_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Phi)$. The discussion in (4.3) shows that for each such s the scheme $\mathcal{Y}_s \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(\kappa(s))} \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{K}_p)$ is nothing but Y_p . Since Y_p is reduced, the base change $\tilde{\omega}_s: \mathcal{Y}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_s^c$ gives rise to a natural morphism $\omega_p: Y_p \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}_s^c \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(\kappa(s))} \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{K}_p))_{\operatorname{red}}$. We denote by Y_p^c the irreducible component of the reduced scheme $(\mathcal{Y}_s^c \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(\kappa(s))} \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{K}_p))_{\operatorname{red}}$ that contains $\omega_p(Y_p)$.

Since $\mathcal{Y}_s^c$ is smooth, projective, so too is Y_p^c . Furthermore, our earlier remarks in the proof imply that ω_p is a $\tilde{K}_p$ -defined birational morphism of algebraic varieties and all fibres of ω_p are finite. The variety Y_p^c is smooth, hence normal. Applying Zariski's Main Theorem to the quasi-finite birational morphism $\omega_p: Y_p \rightarrow Y_p^c$, we now deduce that ω_p is an open embedding; see [31, Cor. 1(i)] for the statement and a short proof of the result we need (it is worth mentioning that [31] is available on the web).

Since the variety Y_p is defined over $\tilde{K}_p$ by our discussion in (4.3), it follows from [4, AG, 14.5] that so is $Y_p^c = \overline{\omega_p(Y_p)}$. But then the composite $G_p/T_p^{\operatorname{gen}} \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_p \xrightarrow{\omega_p} Y_p^c$ is a smooth projective model of $G_p/T_p^{\operatorname{gen}}$ defined over $\tilde{K}_p = K_p(\mathcal{T}_p)$. $\square$

Remark 4.1. Since the variety Y_p^c is projective and its open set $\omega_p(Y_p) \cong Y_p$ is affine, all irreducible components of the complement $D := Y_p^c \setminus \omega_p(Y_p)$ have codimension 1 in Y_p^c ; see [23, Ch. 2].

Remark 4.2. Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a maximal F -torus in a split connected reductive algebraic F -group $\mathbf{G}$. If $\mathbf{T}$ is F -split, then there exist Borel subgroups $\mathbf{B}_+$ and $\mathbf{B}_-$ in $\mathbf{G}$ defined over F and such that $\mathbf{B}_+ \cap \mathbf{B}_- = \mathbf{T}$. Thus, in the F -split case the variety $(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}_+) \times (\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}_-)$ provides a natural smooth projective model of the homogeneous space $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{T}$ (this was pointed out to me by Panyushev and Serganova). Unfortunately, it is not clear how to adapt this construction to the case of a non-split maximal torus. It would be very interesting to find an *explicit* $F(\mathbf{T})$ -defined smooth projective model of the homogeneous space $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{T}$ for an arbitrary maximal F -torus $\mathbf{T}$ of $\mathbf{G}$.

4.6. It is known that in characteristic 0 the generic torus $T^{\operatorname{gen}} \subset G$ splits over a finite Galois extension of $\tilde{K}$ whose group acts on the weight lattice $X(T^{\operatorname{gen}})$ as the Weyl group W . This result is sometimes attributed to É. Cartan; see [9, 10]. Modern proofs can be found in [40, 41] and in the “dismissed appendix” to [13] written by J.-L. Colliot-Thélène; see [11].

The rest of the paper relies on a modular version of this result. In order to apply the arguments from [40, 41] in the characteristic p case one needs to know that the

morphism $\alpha: G/T \times T \rightarrow H$ is separable and the second projection $\pi: H \rightarrow Y$ is birational (notation of *loc. cit.*). This was checked earlier by Vladimir Popov and Andrei Rapinchuk. The proof below was outlined to the author by Andrei Rapinchuk.

Proposition 4.3. *There exists a finite Galois extension $L/\tilde{K}_p$ with group W which splits the $\tilde{K}_p$ -torus T_p^{gen} and acts on the weight lattice of T_p^{gen} in the standard way.*

Proof. (1) Following [40] we set

$$H_p := (gN_p, gtg^{-1}) \mid g \in G_p, t \in T_p \subset (G_p/N_p) \times G_p.$$

By [25, p. 10], the set H_p is Zariski closed in $(G_p/N_p) \times G_p$. By the definition of H_p , the K_p -morphism $\alpha: (G_p/T_p) \times T_p \rightarrow H_p$ taking (gT_p, t) to (gN_p, gtg^{-1}) is surjective. We can write α as the composition $\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1: G_p \times T_p &\longrightarrow (G_p/T_p) \times G_p, & (g, t) &\mapsto (gT_p, gtg^{-1}); \\ \alpha_2: (G_p/T_p) \times G_p &\longrightarrow (G_p/N_p) \times G_p, & (gT_p, x) &\mapsto (gN_p, x). \end{aligned}$$

The morphism α_2 is an étale Galois cover. We need to show that the second projection $\pi_2: H_p \rightarrow G_p$ is a separable morphism. Define $\alpha_3: (G_p/T_p) \times T_p \rightarrow G_p$ to be the composite of α_1 and π_2 . Then $\alpha_3(gT_p, t) = gtg^{-1}$ for all $(gT_p, t) \in (G_p/T_p) \times T_p$.

We compute the differential of α_3 at $(eT_p, t_0) \in (G_p/T_p) \times T_p$, where e is the identity element of G_p and t_0 is any regular element of T_p . Write $D = \mathbb{K}_p[\varepsilon]$ for the algebra of double numbers over $\mathbb{K}_p$, so that $\varepsilon^2 = 0$. Let X be in the $\mathbb{K}_p$ -span of the e_γ 's which we identify with $\mathfrak{g}_p/\mathfrak{t}_p$, the tangent space of G_p/T_p at eT_p . If $Y \in \text{Lie}(T_p) = \mathfrak{t}_p$, then t_0Y lies in the tangent space to T_p at t_0 and $(d\alpha_3)_{(eT_p, t_0)}(X, t_0Y)$ is the coefficient of ε of the element $(e + \varepsilon X)(t_0 + \varepsilon t_0Y)(e - \varepsilon X) = t_0 + \varepsilon(t_0Y + Xt_0 - t_0X) \in D$. Multiplying this by t_0^{-1} to move everything back to the identity, we get

$$(d\alpha_3)_{(eT_p, t_0)}(X, t_0Y) = Y + t_0^{-1}Xt_0 - X = Y + (\text{Ad}(t_0^{-1}) - \text{Id})(X).$$

Since $t_0 \in T_p$ is regular, we see that the image of $(d\alpha_3)_{(X, t_0Y)}$ has dimension $n = \dim G_p$. So $\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \circ \pi_2$ is a separable morphism and hence so is π_2 .

(2) Write G_p^{rs} for the set of all regular semisimple elements in G_p , and set $T_p^{\text{rs}} := G_p \cap T_p$. As the group G_p is simply connected it follows from Steinberg's restriction theorem that there exists a regular invariant function $f \in \mathbb{K}[G_{\mathbb{K}}]^{G_{\mathbb{K}}}$ such that $G_p^{\text{rs}} = \{g \in G_p \mid f(g) \neq 0\}$. Hence G_p^{rs} is a principal Zariski open subset in G_p . In particular, the varieties G_p^{rs} and T_p^{rs} are smooth and affine.

Let $H_p^{\text{rs}} := \{(gN_p, gtg^{-1}) \mid g \in G_p, t \in T_p^{\text{rs}}\}$. The Weyl group W acts on $(G_p/T_p) \times T_p$ by the rule

$$(gT_p, t)^w = (g\dot{w}T_p, \dot{w}^{-1}t\dot{w}).$$

It is straightforward to see that the set H_p^{rs} is W -stable, the restriction of π_2 to H_p^{rs} is bijective and the fibres of α are W -orbits. Also, $H_p^{\text{rs}} = ((G_p/N_p) \times G_p^{\text{rs}}) \cap H_p$ is a principal Zariski open subset of H_p .

By part (1), the restriction of π_2 to H_p^{rs} is separable. So $\pi_2: H_p^{\text{rs}} \rightarrow G_p^{\text{rs}}$ is a bijective separable morphism of affine varieties. Therefore, it is birational. As the variety G_p^{rs} is smooth, hence normal, Zariski's Main Theorem now yields that $\pi_2: H_p^{\text{rs}} \rightarrow G_p^{\text{rs}}$ is a K_p -isomorphism. But the H_p^{rs} is an affine normal variety, and we can apply [4, Prop. II.6.6] to conclude that $\alpha: (G_p/T_p) \times T_p^{\text{rs}} \rightarrow H_p^{\text{rs}}$ is the geometric quotient for the action of W .

We have the following commutative diagram, where π_1 is the first projection and β is the canonical map.

$$(28) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (G_p/T_p) \times T_p^{\text{rs}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H_p^{\text{rs}} \\ p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_1 \\ G_p/T_p & \xrightarrow{\beta} & G_p/N_p \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_p, \end{array}$$

where p_1 and π_1 are the first projections and β is the quotient morphism. All these maps are defined over $\mathbb{k} \subset K_p$.

(3) Recall from (4.2) that $\tilde{y}_p$ identifies with a generic point of $\mathcal{T}_p \cong G_p/N_p$ in the sense that the fields $K_p(\tilde{y}_p) = \tilde{K}_p$ and $K_p(G_p/N_p)$ are K_p -isomorphic. The algebra of K_p -defined regular functions of the fibre $\beta^{-1}(\tilde{y}_p)$ is

$$(29) \quad K_p[G_p/T_p] \otimes_{K_p[G_p/N_p]} K_p(G_p/N_p) \cong K_p(G_p/T_p),$$

showing that $K_p(\beta^{-1}(\tilde{y}_p)) = K_p(G_p/T_p)$ is a Galois extension of $\tilde{K}_p = K_p(G_p/N_p)$ with Galois group W .

Since $T_p^{\text{gen}} = Z_{G_p}(\tilde{y}_p)$ is defined over $\tilde{K}_p$, it contains a $\tilde{K}_p$ -rational regular element; we call it s . (This follows from the fact that $T_p^{\text{gen}}(\tilde{K}_p)$ is dense in T_p^{gen} ; see [16]). Let $(gN_p, s) = \pi_2^{-1}(s)$. Since π_2 is a K_p -isomorphism, we have that $(gN_p, s) \in H_p^{\text{rs}}(\tilde{K}_p)$.

If $P \subset \mathbb{K}_p$ is a finite Galois extension of $\tilde{K}_p$ which splits T_p^{gen} , then the split P -tori T_p and T_p^{gen} are conjugate by a P -rational element of G_p ; see [6, 4.21, 8.2]. Put differently, $(gN_p, s) = \alpha(hT_p, s')$ for some P -point (hT_p, s') of $(G_p/T_p) \times T_p^{\text{rs}}$. But then (28) shows that $\tilde{y}_p \in \beta((G_p/T_p)(P))$. Conversely, if L is a finite Galois extension of $\tilde{K}_p$ such that $\tilde{y}_p \in \beta((G_p/T_p)(L))$, then (28) yields that

$$(gN_p, s) = \pi_2^{-1}(s) \in \alpha((G_p/T_p) \times T_p)(L).$$

Hence L splits T_p^{gen} . Applying this with $L = K_p(G_p/T_p)$ and taking into account (29) one can observe that $L = K_p(G_p/T_p)$ is a minimal splitting field for T_p^{gen} and $\text{Gal}(L/\tilde{K}_p) = W$. Indeed, since $L^W = \tilde{K}_p$ and W acts faithfully on L , the L -algebra $L \otimes_{L^W} L$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of $|W|$ copies of L . Moreover, it follows from the normal basis theorem by comparing W -invariants that if $F/\tilde{K}_p$ is a Galois extension contained in L , then $L \otimes_{L^W} F$ is isomorphic as an F -algebra to a direct sum $|W|$ copies of F if and only if $F = L$.

By the minimality of the splitting field L , the Galois group of $L/\tilde{K}_p$ acts faithfully on the weight lattice $X(T_p^{\text{gen}})$ giving a natural injective group homomorphism $\tau: \text{Gal}(L/\tilde{K}_p) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Phi)$. Since the group G_p is $\tilde{K}_p$ -split, the image of $\text{Gal}(L/\tilde{K}_p)$ under τ is contained in $W \subseteq \text{Aut}(\Phi)$; see [38, 2.3]. As τ is injective, this shows that $W = \text{Gal}(L/\tilde{K}_p)$ acts on $X(T_p^{\text{gen}})$ in the standard way. $\square$

4.7. It what follows we shall assume without loss of generality that our algebraic closure $\mathbb{K}_p$ of $\tilde{K}_p = K_p(G_p/N_p)$ contains $L = K_p(G_p/T_p)$. The result below (which is crucial for us) has been proved in [13] under the assumption that the base field has characteristic 0; compare [13, Thm 6.3(b)]. Although it follows from a more general result obtained in [12], the proof given in [13] is self-contained modulo [34, Thm. 4], [14, Prop. 2.1.1] and [14, Prop. 2.A.1].

Recall that a free $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of finite rank acted upon by a group Γ is called a *permutation lattice* if it has a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis whose elements are permuted by Γ .

Proposition 4.4. *If the homogeneous space $Y_p = G_p/T_p^{\text{gen}}$ is $\tilde{K}_p$ -rational, then there exists a short exact sequence of Γ -lattices*

$$0 \longrightarrow P_2 \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow X(T_p^{\text{gen}}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with P_1 and P_2 permutation lattices over $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(L/\tilde{K}_p)$.

Proof. The proof repeats almost verbatim the argument in [13, p. 23]; we sketch it for the convenience of the reader.

By Proposition 4.2, the variety Y_p has a smooth projective model Y_p^c defined over $\tilde{K}_p$. The open immersion $Y_p \subset Y_p^c$ gives rise to an exact sequence of Galois lattices

$$(30) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}_p[Y_p]^\times / \mathbb{K}_p^\times \longrightarrow \text{Div}_\infty Y_p^c \longrightarrow \text{Pic } Y_p^c \longrightarrow \text{Pic } Y_p \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\text{Div}_\infty Y_p^c$ is the free abelian group on the irreducible components of the exceptional divisor $D = Y_p^c \setminus Y_p$; see Remark 4.1. Since [34, Thm. 4] and [14, Prop. 2.1.1] hold in any characteristic, we can repeat the argument in [13, p. 23] to obtain that $\mathbb{K}_p[Y_p]^\times = \mathbb{K}_p^\times$ and $\text{Pic } Y_p \cong X(T_p^{\text{gen}})$ as Galois modules.

Since [14, Prop. 2.A.1] hold in any characteristic, we can apply it to the $\tilde{K}_p$ -rational homogeneous space Y_p to deduce that the Galois lattice $\text{Pic } Y_p^c$ has the property that $Q_1 \oplus \text{Pic } Y_p^c \cong Q_2$ for some permutation Galois lattices Q_1 and Q_2 . As $P := \text{Div}_\infty Y_p^c$ is a permutation Galois lattice as well, the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow \text{Pic } Y_p^c \longrightarrow X(T_p^{\text{gen}}) \longrightarrow 0$$

induced by (30) gives rise to a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow X(T_p^{\text{gen}}) \rightarrow 0$ with $P_2 = P \oplus Q_1$ and $P_1 = Q_1 \oplus \text{Pic } Y_p^c$ being permutation Galois lattices. $\square$

We denote by $P(\Phi)$ the weight lattice of the root system Φ .

Corollary 4.1. *If the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture holds for $\mathfrak{g}$, then there exists a short exact sequence of W -modules*

$$(31) \quad 0 \longrightarrow P_2 \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P(\Phi) \longrightarrow 0$$

with P_1 and P_2 permutation W -lattices.

Proof. Combining Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 4.1 we see that if the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture holds for $\mathfrak{g}$, then for all $p \gg 0$ the homogeneous space $Y_p = G_p/T_p^{\text{gen}}$ is rational over $\tilde{K}_p$. As G_p is simply connected, $X(T_p^{\text{gen}}) \cong P(\Phi)$ as W -modules. Now the result follows by applying Propositions 4.3 and 4.4 $\square$

4.8. We are finally ready for the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is not of type A_n , C_n or G_2 . Then the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture does not hold for $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. By [13, Prop. 7.1], it follows from the existence of a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P(\Phi) \rightarrow 0$ with P_1 and P_2 permutation W -lattices that Φ is of type A_n , C_n or G_2 . Applying Corollary 4.1 finishes the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Alev, A. Ooms and M. Van den Bergh, *A class of counterexamples to the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996) 1709–1716.
- [2] Alev, A. Ooms and M. Van den Bergh, *The Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture for Lie algebras of dimension at most eight*, J. Algebra **227** (2000) 549–581. Corrigendum in J. Algebra **230** (2000) p. 749.
- [3] J.-M. Bois, *Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture in positive characteristics*, J. Algebra **305** (2006) 820–844.
- [4] A. Borel, *Linear Algebraic Groups, Second edition*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 126, Springer–Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [5] A. Borel and T. Springer, *Rationality properties of linear algebraic groups II*, Tôhoku Math. Journ. **20** (1968) 443–497.
- [5] A. Borel and J. Tits, *Groupes réductifs*, Publ. Math. IHES **27** (1965) 55–150.
- [7] W. Borho, P. Gabriel and R. Rentschler, *Primideale in Einhüllenden Auflösbarer Lie-Algebren*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 357, Springer–Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1973.
- [8] A. Braun and Ch. Hajarnavis, *Smooth polynomial identity algebras with almost factorial centers*, J. Algebra **299** no. 1 (2006) 124–150.
- [9] É. Cartan, *Sur la réduction à la forme canonique de la structure d’un groupe de transformations fini et continu*, Amer. J. Math. **18** (1896) 1–61.
- [10] É. Cartan, *Le principe de dualité et la théorie des groupes simples et semi-simples*, Bull. Sci. Math. **49** (1925) 361–374.
- [11] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, *Old appendix to CTKPR paper*, unpublished manuscript, 2009.
- [12] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and B. Kunyavskii, *Groupe de Brauer et groupe de Picard des compactifications lisses d’espaces homogènes*, J. Algebraic Geom. **15** (2006) 733–752.
- [13] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, B. Kunyavskii, V.L. Popov, and Z. Reichstein, *Is the function field of a reductive Lie algebra purely transcendental over the field of invariants for the adjoint action?*, preprint, 2009.
- [14] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc, *La descente sur les variétés rationnelles, II*, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987) 375–492.
- [15] N. Conze, *Algèbres d’opérateurs différentiels et quotients des algèbres enveloppantes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **102** (1974) 379–415.
- [16] M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck, *Schemas en Groupes*, I.H.E.S., 1964.
- [17] J. Dixmier, *Algèbres Enveloppantes*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, Bruxelles, Montréal, 1974.
- [18] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a View Towards Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 150, Springer–Verlag, New York, Berlin, etc., 1994.
- [19] I.M. Gelfand and A.A. Kirillov, *Sur les corps liés aux algèbres enveloppantes des algèbres de Lie*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **31** (1966) 5–19.
- [20] I.M. Gelfand and A.A. Kirillov, *Structure of the Lie field connected with a split semisimple Lie algebra*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **3** (1969) no. 1 7–26 (Russian).
- [21] J.C. Jantzen, *Representations of Lie algebras in prime characteristic*, Notes by Iain Gordon. In “Representation Theories and Algebraic Geometry”, Proc. Montreal 1997, A. Broer (ed.), NATO ASI Series C, Vol. 514, Kluwer, Dordrecht etc., 1998, pp. 185–235.
- [22] A. Joseph, *Proof of the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture for solvable Lie algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (1974) 1–10.
- [23] R. Hartshorne, *Ample Subvarieties of Algebraic Varieties*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 156, Springer–Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 1970.
- [24] J.E. Humphreys, *Algebraic Groups and Modular Lie Algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 71, AMS, Providence, RI, 1967.
- [25] J.E. Humphreys, *Conjugacy Classes in Semisimple Algebraic Groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 43, AMS, Providence, RI, 1995.
- [26] V. Kac and B. Weisfeiler, *Coadjoint action of a semi-simple algebraic group and the center of the enveloping algebra in characteristic p* , Indag. Math. **38** no. 2 (1976) 136–151.

- [27] Ya. S. Krylyuk, *The Zassenhaus variety of a classical semisimple Lie algebra in finite characteristic*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **130(172)** no. 4 (1986) 475–487 (Russian); Math. USSR Sbornik **58** (1987) no. 2 (1987) 477–490 (English translation).
- [28] J.C. McConnell, *Representations of solvable Lie algebras and the Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **29** (1974) 453–484.
- [29] I. Mirković and D. Rumynin, *Centers of reduced enveloping algebras*, Math. Z. **231** (1999) no. 1, 123–132.
- [30] Nghiem Xuan Hai, *Réduction de produit semi-directs et conjecture de Gelfand et Kirillov*, Bull. Soc. Math. France (1979) 241–267.
- [31] K.J. Nowak, *A simple algebraic proof of Zariski’s main theorem on birational transformations*, Univ. Iagel. Acta Math. **33** (1996) 115–118.
- [32] A. Ooms, *The Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture for semi-direct products of Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **305** (2006) 901–911.
- [33] A. Premet and R. Tange, *Zassenhaus varieties of general linear Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **294** (2005) 177–195.
- [34] V.L. Popov, *Picard groups of homogeneous spaces of linear algebraic groups and one-dimensional homogeneous vector bundles*, Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **36** no. 2 (1972) 371–385 (Russian); Math. USSR Izv. **8** no. 2 (1974) 301–327 (English translation).
- [35] I.R. Shafarevich, *Basic Algebraic Geometry 2, Second edition*, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [36] R. Steinberg, *Torsion in reductive groups*, Advances in Math. **15** (1975) 63–92.
- [37] R. Tange, *The Zassenhaus variety of a reductive Lie algebra in positive characteristic*, [arXiv: math.RA/0811.4568v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.RA/0811.4568v2)
- [38] J. Tits, *Classification of algebraic semisimple groups*, In “Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups”, Boulder, Colo., 1965), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. IX, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1966, pp. 33–62.
- [39] F.D. Veldkamp, *The center of the enveloping algebra in characteristic p* , Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **5** (1972) 217–240.
- [40] V.E. Voskresenskii, *Maximal tori without affect in semisimple algebraic groups*, Mat. Zametki **44** no. 3 (1988) 309–318 (Russian); Math. Notes **44** no. 3–4 (1988, 1989) 651–655 (English translation).
- [41] V.E. Voskresenskii, *Algebraic Groups and Their Birational Invariants*, AMS, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [42] H. Zassenhaus, *The representations of Lie algebras of prime characteristic*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **2** (1954) 1–36.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER, OXFORD ROAD, M13 9PL, UK
E-mail address: sashap@maths.man.ac.uk